## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,684	10/27/2003	Tetsuya Suga	242791US0CONT	2064
22850 7590 07/31/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		EXAMINER		
		GEORGE, KONATA M		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/692,684	SUGA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Konata M. George	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  nely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 At	ıgust 2006.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,11-36,38-46 and 48-90</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,11-36,38-46 and 48-90</u> is/are re	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,11-36,38-46 and 48-90</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	· r.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/7/06</u> . 6)						

Art Unit: 1616

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1, 5, 6, 11-36, 38-46 and 48-90 are pending in this application.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on August 7, 2006 was noted and the submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97.

Accordingly, the examiner has considered the information disclosure statement.

## **Action Summary**

- 2. The examiner acknowledges the cancellation of claims 2-4, 7-10, 37 and 47. Therefore, any and all rejections and/or objections directed towards them are hereby withdrawn.
- 3. The rejection of claim 22 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite is being maintained for the reasons stated in the office action dated March 8, 2006.
- 4. The rejection of claims 1, 5, 6, 11-14, 18, 19, 21-24, 26-28, 31, 32, 35, 38, 40 and 41 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated over Kropf et al. is hereby withdrawn as applicant has amended the claims to overcome the rejection.
- 5. The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated over Jamas et al. is hereby withdrawn as applicant has amended the claims to overcome the rejection.

Art Unit: 1616

6. The rejection of claims 1, 15-17, 27, 28, 36, 42 and 44 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated over Kobayashi et al. is hereby withdrawn as applicant has amended the claims to overcome the rejection.

- 7. The rejection of claims 1, 5, 6, 11-13, 26-28, 31-33, 36, 40, 42, 45, 46, 48 and 50 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated over Ostroff et al. is hereby withdrawn as applicant has filed a translated copy of the priority document therefore, overcoming the filing date.
- 8. The rejection of claims 1 and 26 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated over Sandewicz et al. is hereby withdrawn as applicant has filed a translated copy of the priority document therefore, overcoming the filing date.
- 9. The rejection of claims 6, 26-36, 38, 42, 43 and 48-51 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Jamas et al. is hereby withdrawn as applicant has amended the claims to overcome the rejection.
- 10. The rejection of claims 20 and 25 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Jamas et al. is hereby withdrawn as applicant has amended the claims to overcome the rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

11. Claims 1, 5, 6, 11-36, 38-46 and 48-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for beta glucan derived from

Art Unit: 1616

water extraction of a mushroom, does not reasonably provide enablement for all other extracts that may be obtained form a water extraction of mushrooms. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

12. Claims 22 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 22 and 61 it is unclear as to what is meant by "(1)" after the whole sugar.

#### Conclusion

13. Claims 1, 5, 6, 11-36, 38-46 and 48-90 are rejected.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 1616

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1616

Telephone Inquiries

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Konata M. George, whose telephone number is 571-

272-0613. The examiner can normally be reached from 8AM to 6:30PM Monday to

Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Johann Richter, can be reached at 571-272-0646. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should

you have question on access to the Private Pair system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Konata M. George Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

lohann R. Richter

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600